UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SACV 17-880 JVS (JCGx)	Date June 15, 2017			
Title	DeSilva v. Specialized Loan Service	<u>:e</u>			
Present: The James V. Selna Honorable					
	Karla J. Tunis	Not Present			
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter			
A	attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:			
	Not Present	Not Present			

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order Remanding Action

The Court has reviewed the notice of removal filed by Specialized Loan Service ('Specialized") on May 19, 2017. (Docket No. 1.) The notice purports to remove a \$304 small claims dispute from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange.

Plaintiff states her claim as follows:

"The nature of this complaint is towards (1) professional negligence and (2) misrepresentation of services. The defendant wrongfully filed a negative report on plaintiff's credit after assuring of receipt of payment."

Plaintiff neither explicitly nor impliedly invokes any federal statute or other basis for relief under federal. There are several obvious potential theories for liability under state law.

Contrary to the notice, plaintiff does not invoke the Fair Credit Report Act or any other federal statute. (Notice, p. 2.)

Because no other basis of jurisdiction than 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which is absent, is asserted, the Court remands the case to the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SACV 17-880 JVS (JCGx)	Date	June 15, 2017
Title	DeSilva v. Specialized Loan Service		
speedy, an 1.) Remov	Finally, the Court notes that the attempted at remoal of Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedured inexpensive determination of every action and proval denied plaintiff her June 6, 2017 hearing on her was more than the amount of the dispute.	e to pro	vide for a "just, g." (Fed. R. Civ. R.
	Initials of Preparer	kjt	